

The Hague, 19 Jan. 1975

Dear Mr. Le Blanc,

X I ^{have given} further consideration to yours ^{letter} of January 6 about the new institute and also consulted some of my colleagues, taking into account also my initial doubts on whether the reasons for which I was ^{awarded} ~~given~~ the Nobel Memorial Prize for Economics ^{would justify}. (This was not for my work on international co-operation, but for my work on dynamic econometric models, as I explained to our friends Mr. Blanco).

Miss Webster and

Let me at once summarize the conclusions to which I have come.

For

1. I don't think it is in the interest of WAWF to ^{attach} include my name ^{to} the official name of the Institute for Global Economic Co-operation. My colleagues and I feel that to ^{bring} include ^{the} name of a living person makes a presumptuous impression. If at all, this is ^{only} done ~~with~~ after a person's passing away.

2. Hence I suggest that the name of the Institute indicates its field of activity; I think Institute for Global Integration may even be better.

3. I remain fully devoted to the ends of WAWF and am willing to serve as an honorary member of an Advisory Board on its scientific activities. I explained to our friends that for reasons of health and of heavy other obligations I ^{have} stopped travelling to the United States and other remote countries.

4. I cannot accept a trusteeship role.

5. ^{Under the circumstances I don't think a further discussion with} Before you go ahead it would seem wise to see to it that no overlapping with similar institutions takes place. Messrs Zion and Bird in The Hague is necessary.

I apologize for the fact that, due to heavy work pressure — among other things, for the Club of Rome — I could not give careful thought to the matter earlier. Hoping that our co-operation will continue wherever possible, I remain

Yours sincerely,

(J.T.)

